

**2018 STLE Annual Meeting & Exhibition** May 20-24, 2018 Minneapolis Convention Center Minneapolis. Minnesota

## MEASUREMENT OF EHL CONTACT TEMPERATURE FOR DIFFERENT BULK AND COATING MATERIALS

### CATEGORY

Lubrication Fundamentals

## **AUTHORS AND INSTITUTIONS**

 Martin EBNER<sup>1</sup>,
 orcid.org/0000-0002-5102-9191

 Andreas PETER<sup>1</sup>,

 Thomas LOHNER<sup>1</sup>,
 orcid.org/0000-0002-6067-9399

 Karsten STAHL<sup>1</sup>,
 orcid.org/0000-0001-7177-5207

<sup>1</sup>Gear Research Centre (FZG), Technical University of Munich (TUM), Boltzmannstraße 15, 85748 Garching b. München, Germany

### INTRODUCTION

In thermo-elastohydrodynamically lubricated (TEHL) contacts, the contact temperature strongly influences the local lubricant properties. Thereby, a higher contact temperature leads to a lower effective lubricant viscosity and, hence, to lower fluid coefficients of friction. Friction measurements with Diamond-like Carbon (DLC) coated test parts in ball-on-disk machines, gear model test rigs and gear test rigs show lower coefficients of friction even under fluid film lubrication. This is referred either to the different wetting behavior of DLC compared to steel resulting in wall slip (e.g. [9]) or to the different thermophysical properties of DLC resulting in thermal insulation (e.g. [3]). Theoretical investigations on DLC coated surfaces indicate a strong thermal insulating effect compared to uncoated steel surfaces (e.g. [2][3][7][19]). To the authors' knowledge, the influence of thermophysical properties on the contact temperature has rarely been validated experimentally. The EHL contact temperature can be measured by the infrared (IR) thermography technique (e.g. [1][13]) and by thin film sensors often applied to twin-disk test rigs (e.g. [4][8][16][17]).

The aim of this study is to analyze the influence of thermophysical properties on the EHL contact temperature using thin film sensors on a twin-disk test rig.

### SETUP AND TEST PARAMETERS

A FZG twin-disk test rig is used. The reader is referred to [6][12] for detailed descriptions of the test rig. Only the main characteristics of the test rig are repeated in the following.

The upper and lower disk are independently driven, allowing continuous adjustment of sum velocity ( $v_{\Sigma} = v_1 + v_2$ ) and slip ( $s = (v_1 - v_2)/v_1$ ). In case of  $s \neq 0$ , the upper disk is defined to have the higher velocity.



Figure 1: FZG twin-disk test rig

The normal force  $F_N$  in the disk contact is applied by a load spring via the pivot arm where the upper disk is mounted. Injection lubrication is applied. Normel force  $F_N$ , friction force  $F_R$ , surface velocities  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , oil injection temperature  $\vartheta_{0il}$  and bulk temperature of the lower disk  $\vartheta_{M2}$  are measured. To ensure an evenly distributed load in the line contact of the disks, a contact print on aluminum foil is carefully evaluated before each test.

For all experiments, ceramic sensor disks with thin film sensors are mounted as upper disks and a steel test disk is mounted as the lower disk (Figure 2). Table 1 shows the material data and thermophysical properties. To ensure fluid film lubrication, the disks are polished mechanically to arithmetic mean roughness values of Ra < 0.05 µm (measured by the profile method with  $L_t = 4 \text{ mm}$  and  $\lambda_c = 0.08 \text{ mm}$  perpendicular to the circumferential direction of the disk).



Figure 2: Sensor disk and test disk

			Test disk	Sensor disks	
Material			16MnCr5 [5]	Zircon ceramics ZrO <sub>2</sub> [15]	Aluminum oxide ceramics Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> [15]
Young's modulus E	in	N/mm²	210000	200000	350000
Poisson ratio $\nu$			0.30	0.30	0.22
Density $\rho$	in	kg/m³	7760	6000	3900
Thermal conductivity $\lambda$	in	W/(m⋅K)	44	2.5	28
Specific heat capacity $c_p$	in	J/(kg·K)	431	400	900
Thermal inertia $I = \sqrt{\rho \cdot \lambda \cdot c_p}$	in	J/(m <sup>2</sup> ·K·s <sup>-0.5</sup> )	12131	2449	9914

Table 1: Material data and thermophysical properties of the test and sensor disks

A Hertzian pressure of  $p_H$  = 1000 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, a sum velocity of  $v_{\Sigma}$  = 16 m/s, a bulk temperature of  $\vartheta_{M2}$  = 52 °C and slip ratios of  $s = \{20, 30\}$  % are investigated. The bulk temperature  $\vartheta_{M2}$ results from an adjusted oil injection temperature of  $\vartheta_{oil}$  = 40 °C. Mineral oil MIN100 (ISO VG 100) with extreme pressure additive is used [14].

Figure 3 shows the geometry of the considered thin film sensor made from platinum. The sensor is applied by photolithography to mask the sensor on the disk and by using an ion beam sputtering device to coat platinum [8]. The thin

film sensor represents a passive resistor changing its ohmic resistance  $\Delta R$  with temperature and pressure (equation 1).  $\Delta R$  is measured by a Wheatstone bridge according to [16], which is based on [8] and [17]. The ohmic resistance of the thin film sensors under ambient conditions is about  $R_0 = \{100 \dots 200\} \Omega$ . The sensors have to be calibrated before each experiment to determine the temperature coefficient  $\alpha_T$  and pressure  $\frac{\Delta R}{R_0} = \alpha_p \cdot \Delta p + \alpha_T \cdot \Delta T$ 

coefficient  $\alpha_p$  [8]. The ohmic resistance of the platinum sensors is very sensitive to temperature, but also decreases with increasing pressure. Hence, the

temperature measured when the thin film sensor runs through the EHL contact must be corrected for pressure change. To do this, pressure distributions were calculated by TEHL simulation [11] for the considered operating conditions.



Figure 3: Geometry of thin film sensor

(1)

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 4 shows measured temperature rises  $\Delta T$ over the dimensionless gap length direction for a transition of the thin film sensor through the EHL contact. The mean value is based on ten pressure corrected measurements. The measured temperature distributions show the well-known trend of temperature distributions in TEHL contacts. Figure 4 compares the temperature rise  $\Delta T$  between the contact pairings ZrO<sub>2</sub>/steel and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/steel for a slip of s = 20 % (solid line) and s = 30 %(dashed line). The maximum temperature rise  $\Delta T_{max}$  of the contact pairing Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/steel is significantly lower than for  $ZrO_2$ /steel: 57 % for s = 20 % and 59 % for s = 30 %. For the pairing ZrO<sub>2</sub>/steel,  $\Delta T_{max}$  is 22 % lower for s = 20 % compared to s = 30 %, whereas for the pairing Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/steel  $\Delta T_{max}$  is 18 % lower for s = 20 % compared to s = 30 %.



**Figure 4:** Measured EHL temperature rise for  $p_H = 1000 \text{ N/mm}^2$ ,  $v_{\Sigma} = 16 \text{ m/s}$ ,  $\vartheta_{M2} = 52 \text{ °C}$  and  $s = \{20, 30\}$  % for ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

The signal of the thin film sensor represents one over-its-size averaged temperature value. The temperature across the lubricant film can differ significantly from the temperatures on the surface of the disks [10]. Because the thin film sensor is applied on the surface of the upper

sensor disk, the sensor T represents the temperature level  $\Delta$ close to the surface of the sensor – disk. The sensor itself is assumed to exert insignificant influence on – the EHL contact [10]. Table 2 – compares the measured –

Table	2: Comparison of measured ma	aximum	temperature	rise
17	to colculated temperature rice T	1 000	to Plak [19]	

$\Delta I_{max}$ to calculated temperature rise $I_{Bl}$ acc. to Blok [18]								
	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	/steel	ZrO <sub>2</sub> /steel					
slip s in %	$\Delta T$ in K	$T_{Bl}$ in K	$\Delta T_{max}$ in K	$T_{Bl}$ in K				
20	30.8	15.1	71.3	24.6				
30	37.4	19.5	91.7	32.0				

maximum temperature rise  $\Delta T_{max}$  with the calculated temperature rise  $T_{Bl}$  according to Blok [18]. Thereby, the measured contact temperatures are roughly twice as high as the calculated flash temperature. This has already been observed in e.g. [8][14], and is likely due to the assumptions of Blok and to the fixed height position of the sensor within the EHL contact.

The measured temperature rise is higher with sensor disks made from  $ZrO_2$  compared to  $Al_2O_3$ .  $ZrO_2$  features a lower thermal inertia compared to  $Al_2O_3$  and  $Al_2O_3$  itself has a lower thermal inertia compared to steel (see Table 1). Hence, it can be derived that materials with low thermal inertia act like a thermal insulator for the EHL contact [7]. This thermal insulation effect also applies to thin coatings because the temperature penetration depth of TEHL contacts is usually smaller than the coatings' thickness of a few micrometers. This confirms results of recent studies (e.g. [10][14]). The results of this study can also be transferred to DLC-coatings, because the thermal inertia of DLC-coatings is reported to be in the order of magnitude of  $ZrO_2$  and below (e.g. [3]). Due to the small thickness of DLC-coatings, its influence on the contact mechanics is usually negligible [7].

#### SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

Within this study, EHL contact temperature measurements with thin film sensors were carried out on a FZG twin-disk test rig. Thin film sensors were applied on ceramic sensor disks, which were paired with a steel test disk. By varying the material of the sensor disk, results clearly show the influence of thermophysical properties on the EHL contact temperature. Due to the fixed position of the thin film sensor within the TEHL contact, the height and position of the sensor is important. For greater in-depth analyses and interpretation on measured EHL contact temperatures, measurements with different sensor heights as well as TEHL simulations will be conducted.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

FZG would like to thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, HO 1339/49-1) for their kind sponsorship of this research project.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bader, N.; Wang, D.; Poll, G.: Traction and local temperatures measured in an elastohydrodynamic lubrication contact. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology 231(9), pp. 1128-1139 (2017).
- [2] Björling, M., Habchi, W., Bair, S., et al.: Friction reduction in elastohydrodynamic contacts by thin-layer thermal insulation. Tribology Letters 54(2), pp. 477–486 (2014).
- [3] Bobach, L., Bartel, D.; Beilicke, J.; et al.: Reduction in EHL Friction by a DLC Coating. Tribology Letters 60(17), pp. 1-13 (2015).
- [4] Dauber, O.: Elastohydrodynamische Rollreibung in Stahl-Keramik-Kontakten. [Elastohydrodynamical rolling friction in steel-ceramic-contacts]. Doctoral Thesis, Universität Karlsruhe (TH) (2001).
- [5] Deutsche Edelstahlwerke GmbH: Materialdatenblatt für [Material data sheet of] 1.7131/1.7139 (16MnCr5/16MnCrS5). Witten (2011).
- [6] Ebner, M.; Lohner, T.; Michaelis, K.; et al.: Self-Lubricated Elastohydrodynamic (EHL) Contacts with Oil-Impregnated Sintered Materials. Technische Akademie Esslingen. TAE 2016, Esslingen (2016).
- [7] Habchi, W: A numerical model for the solution of thermal elastohydrodynamic lubrication in coated circular contacts. Tribology International 73, pp. 57-68 (2014).
- [8] Kagerer, E: Messung von elastohydrodynamischen Parametern im hochbelasteten Scheiben- und Zahnkontakt [Measurement of elastohydrodynamic parameters in highly loaded disc and gear contacts]. Doctoral Thesis, Technical University of Munich (1991).
- [9] Kalin, M.; Polajnar, M.: The Effect of Wetting and Surface Energy on the Friction and Slip in Oil-Lubricated Contacts. Tribology Letters 52(2), pp. 185-194 (2013).
- [10] Lohner, T.; Mayer, J., Stahl, K.: EHL Contact Temperature Comparison of Theoretical and Experimental Determination. Proc. of 70th STLE Meeting, USA (2015).
- [11] Lohner T.; Ziegltrum A.; Stemplinger J.-P.; et al.: Engineering Software Solution for Thermal Elastohydrodynamic Lubrication Using Multiphysics Software. Advances in Tribology, pp. 1-13 (2016).
- [12] Lohner, T.; Merz, R.; Mayer, J., et al.: On the Effect of Plastic Deformation (PD) Additives in Lubricants, Tribologie + Schmierungstechnik 62, pp. 13-24 (2015).
- [13] Lu, J.; Reddyhoff, T.; Dini, D.: 3D Measurements of Lubricant and Surface Temperatures within an Elastohydrodynamic Contact. Tribology Letters 66(1), pp. 275 (2018).
- [14] Mayer, J.: Einfluss der Oberfläche und des Schmierstoffs auf das Reibungsverhalten im EHD-Kontakt [Influence of surface and lubricant on frictional behavior in EHL contacts]. Doctoral Thesis, Technical University of Munich (2014)
- [15] Oxidkeramik J. Cardenas GmbH: Materialdatenblatt [Material data sheet]. Albershausen (2015).
- [16] Peter, A.: Experimentelle Untersuchungen zum Einfluss der thermophysikalischen Eigenschaften auf die Temperatur im TEHD-Kontakt. [Experimental investigations on the influence of thermophysical properties on the TEHL contact temperature]. Diploma Thesis, Chair of Machine Elements, Technical University of Munich, Germany (2018).
- [17] Simon, M.: Messung von elasto-hydrodynamischen Parametern und ihre Auswirkung auf die Grübchentragfähigkeit vergüteter Scheiben und Zahnräder. [Measurement of elastohydrodynamically parameters and its influence on pitting load capacity of hardened and tempered disks and gears]. Doctoral Thesis, Technical University of Munich (1984).
- [18] Theyse, F.H.: Die Blitztemperaturmethode nach Blok und ihre praktische Anwendung bei Zahnrädern. Schmiertechnik 14(1), pp. 22–29 (1967).
- [19] Ziegltrum, A.; Lohner, T.; Stahl, K.: TEHL Simulation on the Influence of Lubricants on the Frictional Losses of DLC Coated Gears. Lubricants 6, (2018).

# **KEYWORDS**

Elastohydrodynamic lubrication, EHL, thermal effects, contact temperature, thin film sensor